Geometry of dissolving vortices

Martin Speight

SIG V 20/6/16

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

What are vortices?

$$\mathcal{L}=rac{1}{2}\overline{D_{\mu}arphi}D^{\mu}arphi-rac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{\mu
u}\mathcal{F}^{\mu
u}-rac{\lambda}{8}(1-|arphi|^2)^2$$

•
$$D_{\mu}\varphi = (\partial_{\mu} - iA_{\mu})\varphi$$
, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}$

- $B = F_{12}, e_i = F_{0i}$
- Finite energy: $\varphi \sim e^{i\chi}$ at large *r*, winding number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- Finite energy: $D_i \varphi \sim 0$ at large r: $A = A_i dx^i \sim d\chi$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dA = \oint_{S^1_{\infty}} A = 2\pi n$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Flux quantization

• Vortex: energy minimizer with n = 1

$$\varphi = f(r)e^{i\theta}, \qquad A = a(r)d\theta$$

• Multivortices: for any $n \ge 2$, static solutions

$$\varphi = f_n(r)e^{in\theta}, \qquad A = a_n(r)d\theta$$

Stable if $\lambda < 1$, unstable if $\lambda > 1$. Unique in both cases

• Critical coupling: $\lambda = 1$, space of static solutions **much** more interesting

Bogomol'nyi argument

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ |D_1 \varphi|^2 + |D_2 \varphi|^2 + B^2 + \frac{1}{4} (1 - |\varphi|^2) \right\}$$

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ |D_1 \varphi + i D_2 \varphi|^2 + [B - \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\varphi|^2)]^2 \right\}$$

$$= E - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B$$

$$= E - \pi n$$

• Hence $E \geq \pi n$ with equality iff

$$\begin{aligned} (D_i + iD_2)\varphi &= 0 & (BOG1) \\ B &= \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\varphi|^2) & (BOG2) \end{aligned}$$

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うへぐ

- Taubes: gauge equivalence classes of solns of (BOG1), (BOG2) ↔ unordered collections of n points in R² = C (not nec. distinct)
- ↔ unique monic polynomial whose roots are the marked points

$$P(z) = (z - z_1)(z - z_2) \cdots (z - z_2) = z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- \leftrightarrow $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$
- Hence the **moduli space** of *n*-vortex solutions $M_n \cong \mathbb{C}^n$

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\dot{\varphi}|^2 + |\dot{A}|^2) - E_{static}(\varphi, A)$$



$$L|_{M_n} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\left| \sum \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_r} \dot{z}_r \right|^2 + \left| \sum \frac{\partial A}{\partial z_r} \dot{z}_r \right|^2 \right) - \pi n$$



$$L|_{M_n} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r,s} \gamma_{rs} \dot{z}_r \dot{\overline{z}}_s - \pi n$$



- Geodesic motion in M_n w.r.t. metric γ induced by K.E.
- In maths literature, γ is called the "L² metric"
- Obviously hermitian

 $J: T_p M_n \to T_p M_n, \qquad \gamma(JX, JY) \equiv \gamma(X, Y)$

- Kähler form $\omega(X, Y) = \gamma(JX, Y)$
- M_n is kähler: $d\omega = 0$
- **Quantum** geodesic motion: $i\partial_t \Psi = \frac{1}{2}\Delta \Psi$

Vortices on compact surfaces

• Spacetime $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}$, $\eta = dt^2 - g_{\Sigma}$

• Why?

- $\Sigma = T^2 = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$: vortex lattices
- More generally: vortex "gas"
- Maths: equivariant Gromov-Witten theory
- Need a bit more mathematical sophistication: hermitian line bundle *L* over Σ, φ a section, *A* a connexion

$$E(\varphi, A) = \frac{1}{2} \|d_A \varphi\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|F_A\|^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|1 - |\varphi|^2 \|^2$$

• Still have flux quantization:

$$\int_{\Sigma} F_A = 2\pi n$$

 $n = \deg(L)$

• Still have Bogomol'nyi argument: $E \ge \pi n$ with equality iff

$$\overline{\partial}_A \varphi = 0$$
 (BOG1)
 $F_A = \frac{1}{2} (1 - |\varphi|^2) * 1$ (BOG2)

• Bradlow bound: integrate (BOG2) over Σ

$$2\pi n = \frac{1}{2}Area(\Sigma) - \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}Area(\Sigma)$$

- No vortex solutions if $Area(\Sigma) < 4\pi n$.
- If $Area(\Sigma) = 4\pi n$ all solutions have $\varphi \equiv 0$, $*F_A$ constant
- If Area(Σ) > 4πn, vortex solutions ↔ effective divisors on Σ of degree n
 M_n = Σⁿ/S_n

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Dissolved vortices

• Note: $\varphi = 0$, $*F_A = 2\pi n/Area(\Sigma)$ is **always** a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$E = rac{2\pi^2 n^2}{Area(\Sigma)} + rac{1}{8}Area(\Sigma)$$

Solution not unique (up to gauge) if $H^1(\Sigma) \neq 0$: $M_n^{dis} = T^{2g}$ $(g = genus(\Sigma))$

- $Area(\Sigma) \searrow 4\pi n$: "dissolving" limit
- $|\varphi|$ becomes small, F_A becomes uniform
- $g \gg n$ studied by Manton and Romao
- g = 0 studied by Baptista and Manton

Vortices on a sphere

$$M_n \cong \mathbb{C}P^n$$

- Use stereographic coord z on S^2
- $[(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n)] \leftrightarrow P(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n$
- $a_n = a_{n-1} = \cdots = 0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{root}(s)$ at $z = \infty$
- $(a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) \sim (\lambda a_0, \lambda a_1, \ldots, \lambda a_n)$
- Metric γ_{L^2} not known exactly, but...
- Manton exactly computed the **volume** of $(M_n, \gamma_{L^2})!$

$$Vol(M_n(S^2)) = \frac{\pi^n (Area(S^2) - 4\pi n)^n}{n!}$$

- valid on any sphere
- shrinks to 0 as $Area(S^2) \searrow 4\pi n$

- Define R s.t. $Area(S^2) = 4\pi R^2$
- Rescale γ_{L^2} to normalize volume: $\gamma'_{L^2} = \gamma_{L^2}/(R^2 n)$
- Conjecture (Baptista, Manton): As R² ∖ n, γ'_{L²} converges uniformly to "the" Fubini-Study metric on CPⁿ
- Originally made for round metric on S^2 but argument obviously generalizes to any metric
- Huge symmetry gain (at most $SO(3) \rightarrow U(n)$)
- So what? E.g. quantum energy spectrum should have unexpected large quasi-degeneracies

What is the FS metric?

- Unique k\u00e4hler-einstein metric on CPⁿ
- In inhomogeneous coords $[1, w_1, \ldots, w_n]$

$$\gamma_{FS} = \frac{\sum_{i} dw_{i} d\overline{w}_{i}}{1 + |w|^{2}} - \frac{\left(\sum_{i} \overline{w}_{i} dw_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j} w_{j} d\overline{w}_{j}\right)}{(1 + |w|^{2})^{2}}.$$

- Hopf fibration $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \supset S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n$: $\pi : (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n]$
- γ_{FS} is the unique riemannian metric on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that $\pi: S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{C}P^n$ is a riemannian submersion:

•
$$T_p S^{2n+1} = \ker d\pi_p \oplus \mathcal{H}_p$$

• $d\pi_p: \mathcal{H}_p \to T_{\pi(p)}\mathbb{C}P^n$ is an isometry

- In dissolving limit $\varphi \to 0$ and $A \to \text{constant curvature connexion}$
- On $L \rightarrow S^2$, const curv connexion is unique (up to gauge)
- Choose and fix such a connexion A, assume φ is "small" and solves (BOG1):

$$\overline{\partial}_A \varphi = 0$$

φ holomorphic w.r.t. holo structure defined by A
In a holomorphic trivialization of *L* over S²\pt

$$\varphi = a_0 + a_1 z + \dots + a_n z^n$$

Remaining gauge freedom: $\varphi \mapsto e^{ic} \varphi$

Intuition

• No such φ can solve (*BOG2*)

$$F_A=rac{1}{2}(1-|arphi|^2)*1$$

Demand only that it solves (BOG2) "on average"

$$2\pi n = \frac{1}{2}Area(S^2) - \frac{1}{2}\|\varphi\|^2$$

i.e. $\varphi \in S^{2n+1}_{\rho} \subset H^0(L) = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, $\rho^2 = 4\pi(R^2 - n)$

 Curve of solutions: A constant, φ(t) moving orthogonal to gauge orbit

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{\varphi}\|^2$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Hence induces FS metric on $\mathbb{C}P^n = S^{2n+1}/\sim$

- Underlying idea: $\varphi \rightarrow$ holomorphic section of fixed L^2 norm
- On round sphere, can write these down explicitly
- Solve Bogomol'nyi equations numerically on round sphere, investigate limit $R^2 \searrow n$

- $g_{\Sigma} = \Omega dz d\overline{z}$, $\Omega = \frac{4R^2}{(1+|z|^2)^2}$
- Define $h = \log |\varphi|^2$
- Can use (BOG1) to eliminate A from (BOG2)

$$\nabla^2 h + \Omega(1 - e^h) = 4\pi \sum_r \delta(z - z_r)$$

- Consider case n = 2, $z_1 = \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $z_2 = -\varepsilon$
- Regularize: $h(z) = f_+(z) + \log |z \varepsilon|^2 + \log |z + \varepsilon|^2$ $\nabla^2 f_+ + \Omega(1 - |z^2 - \varepsilon^2|^2 e^{f_+}) = 0$ (*)
- Repeat in opposite coord patch w = 1/z

$$abla^2 f_- + \Omega(1 - |w^2 - \varepsilon^{-2}|^2 e^{f_-}) = 0$$
 (**)

Solve (*) on disk |z| ≤ 1, (**) on disk |w| ≤ 1, impose matching condition on equator |z| = |w| = 1.













$$G: \mathbb{R}^{2n_r n_\theta} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n_r n_\theta}, \qquad G(f_+, f_-) = 0$$

- Newton-Raphson method, $n_r = n_{\theta} = 50$
- Integral constraint on numerical solutions:

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{S^2} (1-e^h) = 2\pi n$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Holds almost to machine precision (!) (error $\sim 10^{-15}$)



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで



・ロト ・ 日・ ・ 田・ ・ 日・ うらぐ



◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶



・ロト ・ 日・ ・ 田・ ・ 日・ うらぐ

$$h = \log |\varphi|^2 = \log |z - z_r|^2 + a_r + \frac{1}{2}b_r(\overline{z} - \overline{z}_r) + \frac{1}{2}\overline{b}_r(z - z_r) + \cdots$$

• Defines (0, 1) form $b = \sum_{r} b_r d\overline{z}_r$ on $M_n \setminus \Delta$, holomorphic

• Strachan-Samols localization formula:

$$\omega_{L^2} = \pi \sum_r \Omega(z_r) \frac{i}{2} dz_r \wedge d\overline{z}_r + i\pi db$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The two-vortex moduli space



 $\gamma = A_0(\varepsilon)d\varepsilon^2 + A_1(\varepsilon)\sigma_1^2 + A_2(\varepsilon)\sigma_2^2 + A_3(\varepsilon)\sigma_3^2$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

The two-vortex moduli space



$$\gamma = -\frac{A'(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} (d\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^2 \sigma_3^2) + A(\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon^2}{1 + \varepsilon^2} \sigma_1^2 + \frac{1 + \varepsilon^2}{1 - \varepsilon^2} \sigma_2^2 \right)$$

where $A:(0,1) \rightarrow (0,\infty)$ is smooth and decreasing

 Applies to any SO(3) invariant kähler metric on M₂, hence both γ_{L²} and γ_{FS}

•
$$\gamma_{L^2} \rightarrow \gamma_{FS}$$
 iff $A_{L^2} \rightarrow A_{FS}$



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三ヨー のへで

Vortex polygons



- Vortex polygons on a surface of revolution $(\Omega = \Omega(|z|))$: $z_1 = \varepsilon e^{i\psi}, z_r = \lambda^{r-1} z_1$
- Totally geodesic submanifold $M_n^0 \cong S^2$ in M_n
- Induced metric

$$\gamma_{L^2}| = F(\varepsilon)(d\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^2 d\psi^2)$$

• Can compute F from localization formula

- Compare with metric induced by Fubini-Study
- $P(z) = z^n \varepsilon^n \leftrightarrow [1, 0, \dots, \varepsilon^n] \in \mathbb{C}P^n$

$$F_{FS}(\varepsilon) = \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon}\right|^2 = 4\pi (R^2 - n) \frac{n^2 \varepsilon^{2n-2}}{(1 + \varepsilon^{2n})^2}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- Convergence for n = 2 ($g_{\Sigma} = \text{round}$) follows from previous work
- Even *n* technically simpler: n = 4



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

Non-round spheres

- Recall informal "derivation" of conjecture works on any topological sphere
- Test this numerically? Deform $g_{S^2} = \Omega(dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2)$
- Want to keep $z \mapsto 1/z$ isometry, SO(2) symmetry
- Ω a rational function of r

$$\Omega = \frac{p(r^2)}{q(r^2)}$$

deg(q) = deg(p) + 2, p, q palindromic

- Round metric: p = 1, $q = 1 + 2x + x^2$
- Squashed metric: p = 1, $q = 1 + x^2$

$$\Omega = \frac{(8/\pi)R^2}{1+r^4}$$

Non-round spheres



▲ロト ▲母 ト ▲目 ト ▲目 ト ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三ヨー のへで



◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三 ・ のへの



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで



▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三ヨー のへで

- Baptista-Manton conjecture: for $M_n(S^2) \equiv \mathbb{C}P^n$, $\gamma_{L^2} \rightarrow \gamma_{FS}$ as $Area(S^2) \searrow 4\pi n$
- Very strong numerical evidence for n = 2, S^2 round
 - γ_{L^2} cohomogeneity 1, specified by a single $A:(0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$
 - $A_{L^2} \rightarrow A_{FS}$
- Good numerical evidence for n = 2, S^2 squashed
 - Good convergence at least on totally geodesic sphere of "centred" vortex pairs
- OK numerical evidence for n = 4, S^2 round and squashed
- Interesting open question: what happens under Chern-Simons deformation?